Prosecutor's accountability is questionable

Joe Rothermich

Hensley is here, allowing us to take one more step toward accountability and to redress some of the many wrongs we have come to accept. Hensley has been elected to be the county's top lawyer, and he has an obligation to us, to the taxpayer-funded prosecutor's office. It seems that Prosecutor Whitman Hensley has found an interesting way to save money and instead of taking these cases to court, Hensley has created a center for the defense of attorneys accused of sexual harassment. The center is part of the defense bar and it has been set up to help attorneys with their cases. This is a clear conflict of interest and raises serious ethical concerns.

Hensley has just moved his office to Washington. County Jay Wilson, who has been an opponent of Hensley's, has made an effort to change this. Wilson says that Hensley should be held accountable for his actions. Wilson has also made a donation to support the center. This is a clear conflict of interest and raises serious ethical concerns.

This is not the first time that Hensley has been accused of misconduct. In the past, Hensley has been the subject of a number of complaints, including allegations of neglecting his duties and failing to properly investigate cases.

In conclusion, Hensley's actions are unacceptable and he should be held accountable for his actions. It is time for the county to take action and ensure that our county's top lawyer is acting in the best interests of the taxpayer. Hensley should be removed from office and a new prosecutor should be appointed to ensure that the county's top lawyer is acting in the best interests of the taxpayer.


Andrew Blandford

There is no doubt that the Bush administration is waging a war on terrorism. The administration's policies have led to widespread criticism and opposition, both domestically and internationally. The administration's policies have also been subject to a great deal of legal scrutiny.

For example, the NSA's surveillance program has been the subject of intense debate. The program allows the NSA to collect and store phone records of all Americans, without a warrant. The program has been defended by the administration as necessary to prevent terrorist attacks. However, the program has been widely criticized as a violation of civil liberties.

Other controversies have included the administration's treatment of prisoners at Guantanamo Bay. The administration has been accused of using harsh interrogation techniques, including waterboarding, to extract information from prisoners. The administration has also been criticized for the indefinite detention of prisoners without trial.

The administration's policies have been further criticized for their disregard of international law. The administration has been accused of violating the Geneva Conventions, which protect the rights of prisoners in wartime. The administration has also been criticized for its actions in Iraq and Afghanistan, where it has been accused of conducting illegal wars.

In conclusion, the administration's policies have been controversial and have been subject to a great deal of legal scrutiny. The administration's policies have also been subject to widespread criticism and opposition, both domestically and internationally.